



Geriatrics • Educational evidence summary

Anticholinergic burden and urinary symptoms

This geriatric evidence summary examines anticholinergic burden and urinary symptoms.

UnifiedACB • Published October 3, 2026 • Updated October 4, 2026

[Read the current article](#)

<https://unifiedacb.com/articles/023-anticholinergic-burden-and-urinary-symptoms/>

First name the urinary problem

“Bladder symptoms” can mean urgency, frequent urination, leakage, hesitancy or difficulty emptying. Those descriptions are not interchangeable. A medicine intended to reduce one problem may raise a different question about tolerability. Before interpreting a burden score, establish which symptom is being assessed and what the treatment was intended to accomplish.

The [NIDDK urinary-retention guidance](#) distinguishes acute inability to urinate from chronic emptying problems that may be less obvious. It also identifies medicines among possible contributors. A medication list can help frame that assessment, but it cannot distinguish the causes of urinary symptoms without clinical evaluation.

Step 1: separate the treatment target from the new concern

Ask what the medicine was prescribed to improve and whether that benefit is present. Then describe the current concern separately: its onset, pattern and effect on daily life. A symptom that preceded treatment has a different interpretation from one that began after a documented exposure change.

Avoid assuming that every urinary complaint in a person taking a bladder medicine represents treatment failure or an adverse drug effect. The review needs both possibilities and other explanations to remain open. A burden classification describes a medication property, not the diagnosis behind the complaint.

Step 2: establish actual exposure

Confirm the ingredient, formulation, route and schedule. Determine what was actually taken, including occasional medicines for sleep, allergy, nausea or other concerns. A medication from another therapeutic category may still be relevant to the exposure question.

The product matters. The [oxybutynin extended-release prescribing information](#) contains specific urinary-retention and gastrointestinal precautions. Those statements belong to the named product and its clinical context. They should not be replaced by a generic class paragraph or silently broadened to every urinary treatment.



Step 3: read the source table and criterion separately

The [public oxybutynin observations](#) consistently show the published values from their named source versions. Those observations explain why it appears in an anticholinergic review. They do not establish whether a particular person's symptom is caused by the medicine or quantify the balance between symptom relief and adverse effects.

The [AGS lower-urinary-tract-symptom criterion](#) has its own scope and qualification, including a distinction for medicines used for urinary incontinence. That detail should remain visible. An exception within one criterion is not a statement that the medicine is appropriate in every situation or free of other concerns.

This is an example of why a contextual answer may need one clarifying question. The relevant detail could be the urinary problem, the indication or the product. Asking for that detail is more useful than giving the same warning to every medication question.

Step 4: keep benefit measurable

If the treatment target is urgency or leakage, document that target directly. A source score does not measure episodes, sleep disruption, bathroom access or the person's ability to participate in daily activities. These outcomes should remain part of the review rather than being displaced by the burden category.

The [AUA/SUFU overactive-bladder guideline](#) treats discussion of cognitive concerns with antimuscarinic therapy as part of clinical care. That discussion belongs alongside the treatment goal and available options. It does not support choosing a replacement solely by selecting the lowest number in a comparison table.

Step 5: make the next review question specific

A useful question identifies the uncertainty: does the timing suggest a medication contribution, is the product correctly matched, does a condition-specific precaution apply, or is the treatment still meeting its intended goal? The treating team can then decide what evaluation or monitoring is appropriate.

For sudden inability to urinate or severe abdominal pain, a numerical review should not delay assessment; [NIDDK advises immediate clinical attention](#) for those symptoms. Less acute symptoms also deserve evaluation when persistent or concerning. The website cannot rule out retention from a low burden category.

If symptoms involve both storage and emptying, describe both rather than forcing the history into one label. The medication review should carry that distinction forward so the next clinician understands why a treatment benefit and a possible adverse-effect concern are being considered together.



A concise handoff

Record the urinary symptom, the treatment indication, the actual regimen, the source evidence and the unresolved question. Keep any medication changes and follow-up decisions with the treating clinician. The useful output is a clearer urinary and medication history, not an automatic instruction to stop a medicine because it carries a tag.

References

1. American Geriatrics Society 2023 Updated AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2023;71:2052-2081. [Source](#)
2. Vennard O, Stewart C, Tolia M, Soiza RL, Myint PK. Anticholinergic Medication Burden Scales: A Systematic Review. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2026;74(6):1771-1784. [Source](#)
3. American Geriatrics Society Beers Criteria Alternatives Panel. Alternative Treatments to Selected Medications in the 2023 AGS Beers Criteria. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2025;73:2657-2677. [Source](#)
4. Fox C, et al. Effect of medications with anti-cholinergic properties on cognitive function, delirium, physical function and mortality: a systematic review. Age Ageing. 2014;43:604-615. [Source](#)
5. Ruxton K, Woodman RJ, Mangoni AA. Drugs with anticholinergic effects and cognitive impairment, falls and all-cause mortality in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2015;80(2):209-220. doi:10.1111/bcp.12617. [Source](#)
6. Egberts A, et al. Anticholinergic Drug Burden and Delirium: A Systematic Review. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2021;22:65-73.e4. [Source](#)
7. National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Symptoms and Causes of Urinary Retention. Official health information. [Source](#)
8. DailyMed. Oxybutynin chloride extended-release tablets. Official prescribing information; set ID 7d2332b4-9e48-4bb2-a2c3-75e16e1d455b. [Source](#)
9. UnifiedACB. Preserved public source-observation dataset, v3.0 RC12 final; inspected for this editorial revision. [Source](#)
10. Cameron AP, et al. The AUA/SUFU Guideline on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Idiopathic Overactive Bladder. J Urol. 2024. doi:10.1097/JU.0000000000003985. [Source](#)

Explore the evidence

[Ask UnifiedACB](#) about a medication or source, browse the [Medication Library](#), or read the [methodology](#).

Disclosure

Funding: None. Conflict of interest: None declared for the UnifiedACB educational project.

Educational evidence summary. This content does not provide patient-specific medical advice and does not replace clinical judgment, medication reconciliation, or prescribing guidance.