

**Pediatrics · Educational evidence summary**

Anticholinergic exposure and school functioning

This pediatric review addresses anticholinergic exposure and school functioning.

UnifiedACB · Published October 3, 2026 · Updated October 4, 2026

[Read the current article](#)

<https://unifiedacb.com/articles/082-anticholinergic-exposure-and-school-functioning/>

School concerns deserve an outcome-specific discussion

A child may be having trouble completing work, remembering instructions, attending class, or participating. These are important concerns, but they are not interchangeable with a cognitive test score or an anticholinergic category. The first step is to define the actual school problem and its timeline rather than assume the medicine label explains it.

Does a burden score predict grades?

No validated school-grade prediction is provided by UnifiedACB. A source category does not measure teaching conditions, attendance, learning needs, sleep, illness, or the child's opportunity to demonstrate skills. It cannot assign the cause of a change in academic performance.

The category may identify a medication question worth discussing, but the school outcome needs its own assessment. A correlation reported for another cognitive endpoint should not be rewritten as an estimate of grades or educational attainment.

Are parent and teacher observations the same outcome?

They may describe different settings, demands, and periods of observation. A difference between them can be informative. It should not automatically be treated as an error or averaged into a single account that hides the context.

Record who observed the difficulty, what they observed, and when it occurs. A concrete description such as difficulty following multistep instructions is more useful for assessment than a broad conclusion that the child is "cognitively affected." Neither description establishes a medication mechanism without further evaluation.

Can formal cognitive evidence answer the school question?



It can provide relevant background, but it may measure a different endpoint. A test administered under structured conditions does not capture every feature of daily classroom participation. The outcome and study context need to match the claim being made.

The pediatric references retained below should therefore be read for the questions they actually address. This article does not infer a school-functioning effect or threshold from them. Absence of direct school-outcome evidence should be stated explicitly rather than filled with an adult or laboratory finding.

What medication information is relevant?

Reconcile the exact products, preparations, and actual use during the period of concern. Include medicines administered at school and occasional products used outside school. A prescribed schedule does not necessarily establish what was taken on the days being discussed.

Also record changes in treatment and whether the difficulty preceded them. The timeline can help a clinician identify possible contributors, but it cannot by itself distinguish medication effects from the condition being treated or other simultaneous changes.

What if the child has a condition that already affects learning?

The condition and treatment both belong in the assessment. For example, NICE's epilepsy guidance explicitly includes discussion of cognitive and educational concerns. [NICE NG217: information and support](#) That does not establish an anticholinergic explanation; it shows why the review must consider the child's clinical context.

A source table cannot decide how much of a difficulty comes from the condition, medication, or environment. The appropriate response is to describe the uncertainty and identify which observations or assessments can clarify it.

Should a medicine be changed before an exam or school day?

This article does not recommend altering prescribed treatment to test a hypothesis about performance. A medication decision needs the child's clinician to consider the treatment purpose, current stability, and the potential consequences of change. A source category does not provide a safe experiment or timing schedule.

If the care team makes a change for a clinically justified reason, the school outcome and the original treatment goal should be followed separately. A lower burden description alone is not proof of improved learning.

What is a useful record to bring to the discussion?

Bring the current medication information and a short, concrete account of the school concern: the task affected, when it began, settings in which it occurs, and relevant changes in health or routine. Include what is going well, so the assessment is not defined only by a problem label.



The useful endpoint is a child-specific question that can be assessed. UnifiedACB can supply traceable source evidence about the medicines while the family, school, and clinical team determine how that evidence relates to the actual educational concern.

References

1. Feinstein JA, et al. Anticholinergic Medications and Parent-Reported Anticholinergic Symptoms in Neurologically Impaired Children. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2023;65(2):e109-e114. [Source](#)
2. Vennard O, Stewart C, Tolia M, Soiza RL, Myint PK. Anticholinergic Medication Burden Scales: A Systematic Review. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2026;74(6):1771-1784. [Source](#)
3. Hong IS, Bishop JR. Anticholinergic use in children and adolescents after initiation of antipsychotic therapy. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2010;44(7-8):1171-1180. [Source](#)
4. Ghezzi E, Chan M, Kalisch Ellett LM, et al. The effects of anticholinergic medications on cognition in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11:219. [Source](#)
5. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Epilepsies in children, young people and adults. NG217. Information and support. [Source](#)

Explore the evidence

[Ask UnifiedACB](#) about a medication or source, browse the [Medication Library](#), or read the [methodology](#).

Disclosure

Funding: None. Conflict of interest: None declared for the UnifiedACB educational project.

Educational evidence summary. This content does not provide patient-specific medical advice and does not replace clinical judgment, medication reconciliation, or prescribing guidance.